

# PROTECTING ENERGY PUBLIC GOODS: THE CASE OF INCANDESCENT LAMP MANUFACTURE IN BRAZIL

GILBERTO DE MARTINO JANNUZZI<sup>† §</sup> and CESAR JOSÉ BONJUANI PAGAN<sup>¶</sup>

<sup>§</sup> Mechanical Engineering Faculty, University of Campinas, C.P. 6122 Campinas 13083-970 São Paulo, Brazil;

<sup>¶</sup> Electrical and Computational Engineering Faculty, University of Campinas, Campinas 13083-970, São Paulo, Brazil.

**Abstract** - In 1996 a new standard for incandescent lamps was put into practice in Brazil, regulating the manufacture of lamp bulbs to be used by customers connected to the low-tension grid. In spite of having five different tension levels throughout the country, the new standard does not include specifications for incandescent lamps designed to operate at 127 V. Regions served at the 127 V, which include about 20 million households (about half of the present population), have now to use a lamp designed to operate at the 120 V level according to the new standard. We demonstrate that this yields to significant economic and energy losses to households, electrical sector and the society as a whole. We propose to return to the previous standard which included specifications for incandescent lamps projected to be operated at 127 V.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Incandescent lamps consume about 93% of electrical energy use for lighting in the residential sector and about 50% of lighting electricity used in the commercial sector [1]. They are still the predominant source of light in homes, as it is the case in many other countries. Nevertheless, the penetration of more efficient technology- compact fluorescent and regular fluorescent- is increasing as result of some utility sponsored programs and more advertisement [2].

The country has a total of about 35 millions households connected to the electrical grid at the nominal voltages of 110, 115, 120, 127 and 220 V. Table 1 shows the geographical regions of the country served by each voltage and the corresponding share of households. The diversity of tension poses various difficulties to manufacturers of lamps and other electrical equipment since they need to operate in a wide range of tension (110-127 V). About 60% of households are in areas using 110-127 V (the 127 voltage alone serves 85% of these households) and the remaining 40% in areas connected at 220 V.

---

<sup>†</sup> Author for correspondence. Fax: +55-19-2 89-2038. Email: [jannuzzi@fem.unicamp.br](mailto:jannuzzi@fem.unicamp.br)

Data on lamp sales up to 1997 also shows that 60% of the 300 million lamps sold annually in the country are used in areas served by the 110-127 V grid [3].

**Table 1 goes here**

**TITLE: The distribution of households according to nominal grid voltage**

Besides the problem of voltage diversity, some areas of the country present significant voltage fluctuations, which are harmful to the operation and lifetime of electrical equipment<sup>a</sup>.

The new standard enforced in 1996 by the Brazilian Association of Technical Standards (Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas - ABNT) for incandescent lamp manufacture, known as norm NBR IEC-64 [4], set standards for lamps with nominal voltages of 100, 110 and 120 V to be used in areas served by the 115 and 127 V, the predominant voltage levels for the low tension grid in Brazil. The previous norm (NBR 5121: 1982) [5] included particular specifications for other tensions: 115, 125, 127 and 130 V. Therefore, before norm NBR IEC-64 was implemented, households served by the 127 V grid, for example, could purchase lamps manufactured to operate at this tension with similar lifetime, energy consumption and lumen output as other households from other voltage areas. As a result, the new norm restricted the lamp manufacturers to produce only one kind of lamp, which are used even in the areas served by the 115 and 127 V.

It is very common to discuss the potential advantages of modern technologies to improve the energy use in developing countries, however, sometimes old and obsolete technologies, such as incandescent lamps, which have widespread use need to be paid more attention, as the case presented here. In this paper we will demonstrate that the change in the standards triggered significant alterations in the lighting load of the regions served at the 127 voltage, which represents more than half of households in the country. The new standard caused different economic impacts on the various stakeholders: customers, utilities, lamp manufacturers and the society as a whole.

In our view, the case presented here illustrates the need to constantly cross examine norms and standards from a public perspective. Although existing institutional arrangement of establishment of technical standards are conceived to reveal transparency and consensus building amongst all interested parties, they can have unbalanced effects on the society, with

---

<sup>a</sup> This is more critical for the low tension 110-127 *Volt*. The areas served by the 220 voltage have a better performance.

cost and benefits distributed unevenly. Sometimes, consumers - specially in developing countries - are not well represented in technical standards committees.

We first evaluate the changes in electrical consumption, lifetime and lumen output of incandescent lamps as function of nominal operating voltages. Based on the technical performance of the lamps produced in accordance to the new standard in areas served by the 127 voltage, we estimate the economic impacts to the customer, to the utility, and to the society as a whole.

## 2. THE PERFORMANCE OF INCANDESCENT LAMPS ACCORDING TO VOLTAGE

In this section we derive the relationship between the key lighting parameters (luminous flux, effective power and lifetime) and the operating voltages encompassed by the two mentioned standards.

The incandescent lamp produces light by heating a tungsten element that rests inside the glass bulb filled with a mixture of gases such as nitrogen and argon at low pressure. Higher temperatures will yield more light, but this will accelerate the evaporation of the tungsten element, and therefore will limit the lamp lifetime. The incandescent lamp has to provide a certain amount of light output during a specified lifetime and under determined electrical conditions (Voltage), as stated by a technical standard. It can be operated under several different voltages, however the amount of light and the lamp lifetime will vary greatly, since the temperature of the tungsten element will vary accordingly to the electrical tension applied.

When subjected to the same electrical tension  $V$ , incandescent lamps projected to operate at voltage  $V_0$ , have their real wattage (effective power)  $P_{V_0}^V$  expressed by Eq. (1) [6]:

$$P_{V_0}^V = P_{V_0}^{V_0} \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{1.54} \quad (1)$$

Similar expressions for light flux  $f_{V_0}^V$  and lifetime  $L_{V_0}^V$  are:

$$f_{V_0}^V = f_{V_0}^{V_0} \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{3.38} \quad (2)$$

$$L_{V_0}^V = L_{V_0}^{V_0} \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{-14} \quad (3)$$

In order to represent the effects of different electrical tension on an incandescent lamp, let us consider two lamps with the same nominal wattage, one projected to operate at 120 and the other at 127 V. From expressions (1)-(3), we can find the relationship between the effective power for a lamp projected for 120 V submitted to a probe voltage  $V (P_{120}^V)$  and another similar lamp, projected to 127 V, submitted to the same voltage  $V (P_{127}^V)$ :

$$P_{120}^V = 1,091 P_{127}^V \quad (4)$$

This means that a lamp designed to operate at 120 V will have energy consumption 9.1% greater than a lamp projected to operate in 127 V, when both are submitted to the same voltage level. As predicted by the literature [6],  $V$  should not be more than 10% different of  $V_0$ .

Similarly, these two lamps, which were designed to have the same lifetime  $L$  when operated at their nominal voltage ( $V_0$ ), will experience a significant change on  $L$  when different tension is applied. The lamp designed to operate in 120 V will have a 55% decrease in its lifetime [6] when used in 127 V. Using the same notation as before, we have:

$$L_{120}^V = 0,452 L_{127}^V \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, a lamp designed to operate in 120 V will give more lumen output ( $f$ ) than their similar designed to operate in 127 V:

$$f_{120}^V = 1,211 f_{127}^V \quad (6)$$

(Considering  $f_{120}^{120}$  equal to  $f_{127}^{127}$ . Actually,  $f_{120}^{120}$  can be around 1.4% greater than  $f_{127}^{127}$ , depending on lamp power.)

In practice, there are fluctuations in tension ( $V$ ) in the electrical grid, as mentioned earlier. The permitted variation in Brazil ranges from 106 V to 121 V for the areas served at 115 V, and from 116 to 132 V for the areas served at 127 V [7]. Lamps designed to operate normally at 127 V should not be used in the 115 V grid, due to an important decrease in their performance. In the extreme case when the voltage is allowed to drop to 106 V, the luminous flux of a 100 W lamp is less

than the nominal value of the 60 W lamp. In this case, the number of lumen-per-watt decreases around 28% from its nominal value.

Table 2 shows the evaluation of luminous flux, effective power and lifetime for 60 W and 100 W lamps according the permitted levels of tension variation for 115 and 127 electrical grids. We evaluated these parameters according to the two incandescent lamp standards that we are considering in this paper: the NBR IEC 64 (1996) (new standard) and the NBR 5121:1982 (old standard).

**Table 2 goes here**

**TITLE: The performance of incandescent lamps (60 W and 100 W) according to the range of permitted voltages for areas served at 115 and 127 V under NBR IEC 64 (1996) and NBR 5121: 1982.**

Data on residential voltage levels became almost unavailable for all regions in Brazil after power sector privatization, and are at the moment hard to access via the national regulatory agency. For the purposes of this work we relied on information on voltage grid fluctuations only for four big cities in Brazil [8]:

- ✓ São Paulo, 10,300,000 inhabitants, nominal grids are: 110, 115, 120 and 127 V; Average voltage: 122.0 V (all grids), std. dev. 3.5 V.
- ✓ Belo Horizonte, 2,090,000 inhabitants, nominal grid is 127 V; average voltage: 125.5; std. dev.: 2.05 V.
- ✓ Rio de Janeiro, 5,550,000 inhabitants, nominal grid is 127 V; average voltage: 124.2 V; std. dev. 3.1 V.
- ✓ Salvador, 2,210,000 inhabitants, nominal grid is 127 V; average voltage: 123.25; std. dev.: 5.03 V.

As stated earlier, this paper is devoted to analyze the effects of the 120 V lamps when used in a 127 V electrical grid, which is in fact the nominal voltage for 90% of customers connected to the 110-127 V grid, therefore we have estimated power, life and lighting performance for incandescent lamps in use at 127 V Brazilian grid, considering data for the three cities (Belo Horizonte, Rio de Janeiro and Salvador). According to ref [8], in spite of these cities being served by 127 V, measurements showed an weighted average voltage  $\bar{V} = 124.25$  V and standard deviation  $\sigma=5.1$  V.

In order to estimate incandescent lamps' power, luminous flux and lifetime, we assumed a statistical normal distribution as a function of the voltage as  $f(V)$ :

$$f(V) = \frac{1}{s\sqrt{p}} e^{-\left(\frac{V-\bar{V}}{s}\right)^2}, \text{ where } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dV f(V) = 1 \quad (7)$$

considering  $\bar{V} = 124.25$  V and standard deviation  $\sigma=5.1$  V. This statement is valid, in spite of the fact that negative values of V have no meaning (it is an RMS value), since the negative part of the integral in (7) for typical values of  $\bar{V}$  and  $s$  has no effect.

Taking into account the positive part of the domain, the average power  $\bar{P}_{V_0}$  for a lamp designed to operate at tension  $V_0$  is given by numerical integration of:

$$\bar{P}_{V_0} = P_0 \int_0^{\infty} dV f(V) \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{1.54}, \quad (8)$$

As result, we obtained  $\bar{P}_{120} = 1.056 P_0$  e  $\bar{P}_{127} = 0.968 P_0$ , where  $P_0 = P_{V_0}^V$  is the nominal wattage.

Similarly, luminous flux (*lumens*) can be found integrating:

$$\bar{f}_{V_0} = f_0 \int_0^{\infty} dV f(V) \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{3.38}, \quad (9)$$

resulting in  $\bar{f}_{120} = 1.140 f_0$  and  $\bar{f}_{127} = 0.941 f_0$ . Here,  $f_0 = f_{V_0}^V$  is the nominal luminous flux.

Lifetime is also affected by the tension applied to the lamp. Similarly as done when calculating the average power, the effective lifetime of the lamp is:

$$\bar{L}_{V_0} = L_0 \frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} f(V) \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{14} dV} \quad (10)$$

This equation gives a lifetime  $\bar{L}_{120} = 529$  h for lamps projected to operate at 120 V and  $\bar{L}_{127} = 1,170$  h for lamps designed for the 127 V. Here, we assumed that  $L_0 = L_{120}^{120} = L_{127}^{127} = 1,000$  h. Table 3 shows these results.

### 3. THE IMPACTS OF THE NEW NORM

The following calculations assume as baseline case the situation prevailing when the old standard was in effect, that is, when 60 W and 100 W lamps projected to operate at the 127 V were available to households in these areas. We compare them with the corresponding lamps produced according to the new standard. We want to determine the impacts in the annual electricity consumption and the additional costs incurred by households in the 127 V areas. We use the values presented in the equations (8), (9) and (10) to represent the effects of both standards on lamps of same nominal wattage (60 or 100 W), luminous flux ( $\phi_0$  values from table 2) and lifetime (1,000 h) used by households served by 127 V grid.

We follow a method developed in previous work [9] to estimate the physical and economical impacts of the new norm. We assume a coincidence rate of 65% [10] of the lighting load with the electrical system evening peak, a 90% availability factor of the generation system (hydroelectric system), and transmission and distribution losses calculated at the generation point as 17.6% of the electricity consumed [9]. We also assume that each lamp socket is used 1,300 hours per year [9].

Table 3 presents the results calculated with the above assumptions for 60 W and 100 W lamps under both standards. The use of an incandescent lamp according to the new norm will not only increases the electricity consumption but also requires more peak capacity. Using the data from Table 3 and assuming that 80 million of lamp sockets use 60 W bulbs, and 40 million use 100 W in the 127 V areas, this implies that an additional peak demand capacity of 658 MW is required and that 1.32 TWh per year more energy for lighting will be consumed. Compared to the baseline case, more 162 millions lamps will be bought annually by households.

**Table 3 goes here:**

**TITLE: The performance of incandescent lamps (60 and 100 W) designed according to standards NBR 5121: 1982 and NBR IEC 64 (1996) when operated in 127 V areas**

#### 3.1 *The Social Perspective*

Most of the lamps sold in the country are 60 W lamps, which cost on average US\$ 0.39 each; 100 W lamps cost US\$ 0.54. The lamp produced according to the new norm will burn out more quickly when operated at tension 127 V, implying an additional cost of US\$ 0.53/0.73 per year for each 60/100 W lamp-socket, assuming an annual usage of 1,300 hours per lamp-socket.

If we assume that this situation persists for 50 years, the useful lifetime of a hydroelectric power plant<sup>b</sup>, we will have an additional expenditure in net present value of US\$ 8.28/11.46 in 60/100 W lamps, considering a 6% annual discount rate over this period. This implies that each additional peak kW that is now required to operate the lamp produced under the specifications of the new standard (see Table 3) costs US\$ 1,846, just considering the 60 W lamp purchases over this time period, or US\$ 1,533 in the case of the 100 W lamp (Table 4). We should also add the cost to the society to build the additional kW that is required to operate these lamps, about US\$ 2,000/kW (hydroelectricity).

We can also estimate the impacts on energy costs by dividing the incremental annual cost of lamp purchases by the increment in energy consumption. The additional purchases will increase the cost to provide for lighting services by US\$ 58.54 and US\$ 48.64 for each MWh used by a 60 W and 100 W lamp respectively, in areas served by the 127 V *grid* (Table 4).

Therefore, from the societal viewpoint, not only more resources will be spent in the production of lamps, but also more electricity will need to be produced to service the incandescent lamp manufactured according to the new standards.

**Table 4 goes here:**

#### **Economic impacts of standards (NBR IEC-64, 1996) and (NBR 5121: 1982) according to the social perspective**

##### *3.2 Consumer perspective*

In the case of the consumer perspective it is necessary to include the effects of electricity tariffs, in addition to the lamp cost. We consider the three tariffs as prevailing in Brazil as of Oct./99: US\$ 0.081/kWh plus US\$ 0.027 taxes (highest residential tariff), US\$ 0.073/kWh plus US\$ 0.010/kWh taxes (residential average tariff), and US\$ 0.028/kWh, no applicable taxes (low residential tariff) [11].

The results are presented in Table 5 and consider the electricity consumption at the customer's point of use. As these results demonstrate the new norm represents additional costs to households, which vary from US\$ 0.72 to US\$ 1.96 per year and per lamp-socket, depending on tariffs and lamp wattage. It should be noted, however, that lamps made under the new standard became brighter (more lumen output) when compared to the case with the old norm (see Table 2). This fact may be

---

<sup>b</sup> Hydroelectricity accounts for about 95% of total electricity production. We are assuming the situation that incandescent lamps are bought continuously during the same period of an hydroelectric plant lifetime.



considered as an advantage to the customers. It is interesting also to compare the costs of providing lumens by each of the lamps considered here. Lamps projected to operate at 120 V present a cost-per-lumen-year lower than the ones projected to operate at 127 V, however is the amount of increased lumen output (22%) really perceivable by the customer? According to Ref. [12] which reports experiment results of perceived luminous sensations, we believe that the increased 22% of lumen output is not enough to be claimed as an advantage to the customer. The human capability to perceive light intensity is not a linear function of luminous flux, and therefore we can not conclude that increase 22% in lumens means the same amount benefit for vision. According to Ref. [12], to double the apparent brightness of an object we must increase its illuminance by a factor of 6.5 (22% more lumens means 7.6% more apparent brightness in such scale).

**Table 5 goes here:**

**TITLE: Additional annual costs per lamp-socket to the consumer with the new norm (NBR IEC 64) as compared to the previous norm (NBR 5121)**

### *3.3 The perspective of the Power Sector*

In the case of the power sector we include the electricity production costs and also the revenues resulting from the sales of electricity. We assume that 70% [13] of the electrical energy used for lighting is consumed during peak hours at a cost of US\$ 150/MWh<sup>c</sup> and the remaining 30% during off-peak period at the cost of US\$ 20/MWh to the power sector.

The use of lamps produced by the new norm has the effect of raising utilities' electricity sales. In the case of lamps being used by consumers paying the high tariffs for each 60 W lamp socket the power sector will have an increase in its revenues of US\$ 0.56 per year (see Tables 5 and 6). This value is even higher for the 100 W, US\$ 0.93. In the case of consumers paying the average residential rate, the values are US\$ 0.50 and US\$ 0.84 for the 60 W and 100 W lamp respectively.

The increase in electricity revenues, however, is not enough to compensate higher production costs during peak period, when most of these lamps are used. Assuming the marginal electricity production and T&D costs for peak period as US \$

---

<sup>c</sup> We are assuming this value as the average cost for supplying electricity during peak hours. Some utilities have higher costs than that, i.e., the São Paulo Light and Power Co. paid US\$ 0.38/kWh during peak and US\$ 0.028/kWh during off-peak hours [10].

150/MWh, as mentioned above, the power sector has higher costs to produce and deliver to the household the additional kWh demanded, in spite of increased sales as can be seen from Table 6.

**Table 6 goes here:**

**TITLE: Evaluation of the economic impacts of standard (NBR IEC-64) as compared to the previous norm (NBR 5121) to the power sector (US\$ per lamp socket per year)**

### *3.4 The perspective of the Lighting Manufacturers*

Sales of incandescent lamps must have increased in the areas served at the 110-127 V, as our results from the previous sections indicate. Also, probably economies of scale were achieved by the simplification of production lines, stock and distribution costs for the manufacturers. We were not able to have data on lamp sales or industrial production costs of the average lamp sold today and compare with the data before the enforcement of the new norm. However, there are indications, as we tried to demonstrate in this paper, that significant resources are being transferred from customers, the utility sector and the society to lamp manufacturers.

The Brazilian Association of Lighting Manufacturers (ABILUX) supports the new norm [3], and emphasize that customers at 127 V benefit now from the higher lumen output of their lamps. They say that customers' purchases have shifted in the past towards lamps of higher wattage as result of the poor performance of the electrical system. The data presented by ABILUX show indeed that there has been an increase of 8 W in the average nominal power of the lamps sold in the areas with tension 110-115-120-127 V, during the period 1988-97 [3], and this migration towards lamps of higher nominal wattage has not occurred in the areas of 220 V, which have a more reliable service. However, this trend apparently has not been altered after the enforcement of the new norm, as shown in Figure 1. So, if customers were not satisfied with the luminous levels of the incandescent lamps manufactured, the new standard has not modified the trend.

**Figure 1 goes here: The evolution of the average wattage and average (nominal and effective) voltage of incandescent lamps sold in areas of 110-115-120 and 127 V**

From Figure 1 it is also possible to see that before 1996 the average nominal voltage of the lamps sold was very close to 127 V, showing the weight of the 127 V market. As the new norm became in effect the average lamp voltage dropped to 120 V, but there is no sign that customers are buying lamps with less wattage. We also plot the evolution of the effective

power of the average incandescent lamp when operated at the 127 V. Since 1996 there is in fact an increase in the power drawn by the incandescent lamps, due to their operation in the 127 V tension, as pointed before.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Technical standards represent important instruments for public policies in energy-related activities and this case illustrates the different impacts that they can produce in different segments of the society. Standards that do not lead to improvement of energy use in a society are not protecting the so-called *public goods* such as energy efficiency [14 - 15]. Even though the process of creating new standards observes a ritual of consensus building amongst the main stakeholders and public transparency, in many cases not all interested parties have time and resources to devote to a more thorough analysis of the matter, as this appears to be the case.

The incandescent lamps currently manufactured under the new standard and sold in a significant part of the country are consuming 9.1% more electricity and having 55% less burning hours, compared to the ones available three years ago. Assuming no significant changes in consumer behavior with regards to lamp use, these lamps have added an amount equivalent to about 0.44% of the total electricity consumed in the country. This value is comparable to the amount of savings achieved by the daylight savings during the summer months in the southern part of the country or the amount of savings claimed by the National Electricity Conservation Agency in 1997, and resulted from the change in the technical specifications of the incandescent lamp sold in the country.

The economic impacts estimated here are significant and do not benefit customers, the society as a whole, and utilities, as we have demonstrated. Apparently only the lamp manufacturers experience benefits from simplifying production lines and very likely increasing lamp sales. The use of the newly produced lamps in the 127 V tension grid give more lumen output, which can be seen as an increased benefit, but this has an additional cost to consumers.

The Brazilian Association of Lighting Manufacturers - ABILUX, as well as other electrical appliance manufacturers, rightly points the diversity of tensions and their fluctuation as impediment for producing more efficient equipment. However, the arguments that the new norm will prevent households from shifting towards higher wattage lamps seem to us unsubstantiated and we hold the view that the mentioned problems of the electricity distribution system deserve the merit to be solved independently and directly with electricity utilities, and should not serve as basis for revisions of technical norms.

Based on the analysis performed in this paper we sustain that the previous standard presents more advantages to consumers, the power sector and also from the societal perspective.

**Table 1 : The distribution of households according to nominal grid voltage**

| <b>Nominal Grid voltage</b> | <b>Geographical areas (1)</b>   | <b>Estimated % of total Brazilian households</b> |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 110                         | Some areas in São Paulo City and Manaus.  | 1  |
| 115                         | About half of São Paulo Metropolitan area and some areas in São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro States.  | 7  |
| 120                         | About 20% of São Paulo City and cities/towns in Minas Gerais, Tocantins, and Pará States.   | 2  |
| 127                         | Most of Southeast, Center-West, and North Brazilian regions, cities/towns in Bahia, Paraná, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, and Rio Grande do Sul. | 50   |
| 220                         | Northeast region (most of Bahia State), Federal District (Brasília), Tocantins, Goiás, Rio Grande do Sul, and Santa Catarina States.                | 40   |

Source: Authors' compilation based on refs. [16] and [17].

**Table 2: The performance of incandescent lamps (60 W and 100 W) according to the range of permitted voltages for areas served at 115 and 127 V under NBR IEC 64 (1996) and NBR 5121: 1982.**

|   |                        |               | Tension (V)           |                  |             |                       |               |             |
|---|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
|   |                        |               | 115 V electrical grid |                  |             | 127 V electrical grid |               |             |
|   |                        |               | Lower limit           | Nominal value    | Upper limit | Lower limit           | Nominal value | Upper limit |
| National Standard   | Characteristic         | Nominal Value | 106                   | 115              | 121         | 116                   | 127           | 132         |
| 120 V/60 W<br>64 NBR IEC-5055-1<br><i>(new standard)</i>  | Luminous Flux*<br>(lm) | 760           | 500                   | 658              | 782         | 678                   | 920           | 1,049       |
|   | Power (W)              | 60            | 50                    | 56               | 61          | 57                    | 65            | 69          |
|   | Lifetime (hours)       | 1,000         | <u>&gt;3,800</u>      | 1,814            | 890         | 1,607                 | 452           | 263         |
| 127 V/60 W<br>NBR 5121:1982<br><i>(old standard)</i>      | Luminous Flux*<br>(lm) | 750           | 407                   | 536              | 637         | 552                   | 750           | 855         |
|   | Power (W)              | 60            | 45                    | 51               | 56          | 52                    | 60            | 64          |
|   | Lifetime (hours)       | 1,000         | <u>&gt;3,800</u>      | <u>&gt;3,800</u> | 1,969       | 3,555                 | 1,000         | 582         |
| 120 V/100 W<br>64 NBR IEC-5075-1<br><i>(new standard)</i> | Luminous Flux*<br>(lm) | 1,400         | 921                   | 1,212            | 1,440       | 1,248                 | 1,695         | 1,932       |
|   | Power (W)              | 100           | 83                    | 94               | 101         | 95                    | 109           | 116         |
|   | Lifetime (hours)       | 1,000         | <u>&gt;3,800</u>      | 1,814            | 890         | 1,607                 | 452           | 263         |
| 127 V/100 W<br>NBR 5121:1982<br><i>(old standard)</i>     | Luminous Flux*<br>(lm) | 1,380         | 749                   | 987              | 1,172       | 1,016                 | 1,380         | 1,572       |
|   | Power (W)              | 100           | 76                    | 86               | 93          | 87                    | 100           | 106         |
|   | Lifetime (hours)       | 1,000         | <u>&gt;3,800</u>      | <u>&gt;3,800</u> | 1,969       | 3,555                 | 1,000         | 582         |

Note: values underlined are estimates made, since the voltage range lies out of the limits of validity of the equations (1)-(3) given by [6]. The limits are according to the existing norm [7]. For the 115 V tension the permitted range variation is 106 to 121, and for the 127 V tension the permitted interval is 116-132 V. These are estimated values according to the literature, variations may occur as result of operation conditions. \* We considered "normal flux" lamps. Lamps currently found in the market are "high flux", but those were not available before 1996 (when the "old standard" was in effect). Current 120 V lamps have high luminous flux 840 lumens (60 W), and 1540 lumens (100 W).

**Table 3: The performance of incandescent lamps (60 and 100 W) designed according to standards NBR 5121: 1982 and NBR IEC 64 (1996) when operated in 127 V areas**

| Lamp  | Effective power (W) | Peak capacity required at generation point (W) | Annual consumption at generation point (kWh) | Lamp Lifetime (hours) | Lamps per year per socket | Cost per 10 <sup>3</sup> lumen-year* (US\$/lm-yr.) |
|---|---------------------|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 60 W/120 V<br>(NBR IEC 64:1997)<br><i>(new standard)</i>  | 63.4                | 53.8   | 108  | 529                   | 2.46                      | 9.00   |
| 60 W/127 V<br>(NBR 5121:1982)<br><i>(old standard)</i>    | 58.1                | 49.3   | 99   | 1170                  | 1.11                      | 9.49   |
| 100 W/120 V<br>(NBR IEC 64:1997)<br><i>(new standard)</i> | 105.6               | 89.7   | 179  | 529                   | 2.46                      | 7.97   |
| 100 W/127 V<br>(NBR 5121:1982)<br><i>(old standard)</i>   | 96.8                | 82.2   | 164  | 1170                  | 1.11                      | 8.51   |

Notes: The peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 65%, system availability factor 90%, T&D losses of 17.6%, and lamp use of 1,300 hours/year (as in [13]). \* Here, 1 lumen-year = 1,300 lumen-hour. Includes expenditure on lamp purchases and electricity costs (with consumer taxes).

**Table 4: Economic impacts of standards (NBR IEC-64, 1996) and (NBR 5121: 1982) according to the social perspective**

| Lamp   | Lamp cost<br>(US\$/unit) | Annual lamp<br>purchase per socket<br>(US\$/year) <sup>+</sup> | Additional cost per<br>additional MWh<br>(US\$/MWh)* | Additional cost<br>per additional kW<br>(US\$/kW)** |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| 60 W/120 V<br>(NBR IEC 64:1997)<br>(new standard)  | 0.39                     | 0.96   | 58.54  | 1,845.52  |
| 60 W/127 V<br>(NBR 5121:1982)<br>(old standard)    | 0.39                     | 0.43   |  |   |
| 100 W/120 V<br>(NBR IEC 64:1997)<br>(new standard) | 0.54                     | 1.33   | 48.64  | 1,533.21  |
| 100 W/127 V<br>(NBR 5121:1982)<br>(old standard)   | 0.54                     | 0.60   |  |   |

Notes: (+) takes into account the different lifetimes, from Table 2 and an annual use of 1,300 hours; (\*) includes additional costs with new lamp purchases divided by the additional annual electricity consumption at generation point; (\*\*) includes additional lamp costs over a 50 year period (net present value) divided by the additional kW demanded on peak (see Table 3, the additional peak capacity required per lamp under standard NBR IEC 64 compared to NBR 5121). This simulates incandescent lamps purchase over the lifetime of a hydroelectric plant, which is assumed to supply the additional capacity.



**Table 5: Additional annual costs per lamp-socket to the consumer with the new norm (NBR IEC 64) as compared to the previous norm (NBR 5121)**

| Consumer Class | Nominal wattage (W) | Additional annual expenditure with lamps per socket (US\$/year) | Additional annual electricity consumption per socket (kWh/year) | Additional annual expenditure with electricity per socket (US\$/year) | Total annual expenditure per socket (US\$/year) |
|----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                |                     | <b>A</b>  |   | <b>B</b>  | <b>A+B</b>                                      |
| Low tariff     | 60                  | 0.53  | 6.86  | 0.19  | 0.72  |
| Low tariff     | 100                 | 0.73  | 11.44   | 0.32  | 1.05  |
| Average tariff | 60                  | 0.53  | 6.86  | 0.57  | 1.09  |
| Average tariff | 100                 | 0.73  | 11.44   | 0.95  | 1.68  |
| High tariff    | 60                  | 0.53  | 6.86  | 0.74  | 1.27  |
| High tariff    | 100                 | 0.73  | 11.44   | 1.24  | 1.96  |


*Note: High tariff = US\$ 0.108/kWh; average tariff = US\$ 0.097/kWh; low tariff = US\$ 0.028 for low tariff (consumer taxes were added where applicable). It should be noted that lamps under the new standard are brighter (see Table 2) when used in 127 V areas.*

**Table 6 : TITLE: Evaluation of the economic impacts of standard (NBR IEC-64) as compared to the previous norm (NBR 5121) to the power sector (US\$ per lamp socket per year)**

| Consumer Class | Nominal wattage (W) | Additional annual revenues per socket (US\$/year) | Additional annual electricity production cost per socket (US\$/year) | Net Annual Benefit per socket (US\$/year) |
|----------------|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Low tariff     | 60                  | 0.19  | 1.00   | -0.80                                     |
| Low tariff     | 100                 | 0.32  | 1.66   | -1.34                                     |
| Average tariff | 60                  | 0.50  | 1.00   | -0.49                                     |
| Average tariff | 100                 | 0.84  | 1.66   | -0.82                                     |
| High tariff    | 60                  | 0.56  | 1.00   | -0.44                                     |
| High tariff    | 100                 | 0.93  | 1.66   | -0.73                                     |

*Note: The values were calculated assuming an annual use of 1,300 hours/year, US\$ 0.81/kWh as the high tariff, US\$ 0.73/kWh for the average residential tariff, and US\$ 0.028/kWh for low tariff (consumer State taxes are not included). Utilities electricity costs were estimated assuming that 70% of the lighting load occurs during the peak period and costs US\$ 150/MWh during this period. For off-peak hours we assumed the cost US\$ 20/MWh. These values include T&D to the low tension household grid.*

**Figure 1: The evolution of the average wattage and average (nominal and effective) voltage of incandescent lamps sold in areas served at 110-115-120 and 127 V**



Source: [3] and authors' calculations (see Table 3).

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jannuzzi, G. M. 1994. "Brazilian Utilities- Households in Focus." *IAEEL Newsletter*. 3. 6. 6-7: Stockholm, Sweden.
- [2] Jannuzzi, G. M., V. F. Santos,, & M. Bittencourt. 1997. "Evaluation of Residential Lighting Projects in Brazil." Proceedings: *4th European Conference on Energy-Efficient Lighting*. Copenhagen, IAEEL. CD Rom.
- [3] Brazilian Association of the Lighting Industry - ABILUX, Seminar Notes (Comissão de Estudos de Lâmpadas Incandescentes/COBEI/ABNT), São Paulo, May 3th,1999.
- [4] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas (ABNT). 1996. "Tungsten Filament Lamps for Domestic and Similar General Lighting Purposes - Performance Requirements." *NBR IEC 64*, Rio de Janeiro, ABNT.
- [5] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas (ABNT). 1982. "Tungsten Filament Lamps for General Lighting Purposes (Based on IEC 64:1973)." *NBR 5121*, Rio de Janeiro, ABNT.
- [6] Kaufman, J. E. Ed. 1996. *IES Lighting Handbook*. 4th edition. New York, Illuminating Engineering Society.
- [7] Brazil, Departamento Nacional de Água e Energia Elétrica (National Department of Water Resources and Electricity). 1978. Norm no. 047/78.
- [8] Pavão, A. C., O. Affonso, Andrade, A. M. de, and Dini, Nadia. 1999. "Levantamento do nível de tensão domiciliar em quatro capitais brasileiras." Proceedings: *III Seminário Brasileiro sobre Qualidade da Energia Elétrica. 8-12 August*. Brasília DF, Brazil, P. 256-260.
- [9] Gadgil, A. & G. M. Jannuzzi. 1991. "Conservation Potential of Compact Fluorescent Lamps in India and Brazil." *Energy Policy*. 19. 5. 449-463: U.K.
- [10] Jannuzzi, G. M. & V. F. Santos. 1995. "The Costs and Benefits of Residential Energy-Efficient Lighting Programs in Brazil." Proceedings: *3rd. European Conference on Energy-Efficient Lighting., 18-21 June*. Newcastle-upon-Tyne, England, IAEEL. P 171-175, vol. 1.
- [11] Eletrobrás. 1998. "Boletim de Tarifas" <http://www.eletobras.gov.br>.

---

[12 ] Lynes, J. A. "Vision", in Lamps and Lighting, M. A. Cayless and A. M. Marsden, ed., Edward Arnold Publ., London (1983).

[13] Jannuzzi, G. M. & V. F. Santos. 1996. "The Costs and Benefits of a Residential Lighting Program in Brazil." *Energy for a Sustainable Development*. II. 6. 53-56: Bangalore, India.

[14 ] Hagler Bailly Services, Inc. 1998. "Case Studies of the Effects of Power Sector Reform on Energy Efficiency.," Washington DC, U.S. Agency for International Development, Office of Energy, Environment, and Technology. Report no. 98-03.

[15] California Public Utilities Commission. 1997. "Electric Restructuring in California: an Informational Report".

[16] Brazilian Statistical Bureau-IBGE. Census. "Contagem da População do Brasil." [Http://www.ibge.org/ftp/pub/tabelas/censo96/def96/.](http://www.ibge.org/ftp/pub/tabelas/censo96/def96/)

[17] Associação Brasileira dos Distribuidores de Energia Elétrica-ABRADEE. 1998. "Níveis de Tensão Por Município." <http://www.abradee.com.br>.

*Acknowledgements* - The authors would like to thank comments received from Dr. G. Dutt in an earlier version of the paper. This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), proc. 300922/92-2. All statements or are the sole responsibility of the authors.